

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,458	12/29/2004	Leonardo Terzoli	2507-1062	2661
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/13/200 IOMPSON	7	EXAM	INER
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/519,458	TERZOLI, LEONARDO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	arch 2007.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorsey (USPN 5,505,710) and further in view of Samson et al. (USPN 6,197,014).

Dorsey discloses a support (352), a hollow catheter (350) with several apertures (see figure 14-a) a removable needle (figure 13A), but fails to disclose the holes to be unaligned, and the overall length of the catheter (see figures 13-15 and entire reference).

Samson et al. discloses several embodiments of a catheter which include a kink resistant braided catheter with distal side holes, and more specifically side holes that are unaligned (Figure 12) as well as side holes that increasing in diameter from the proximal end to the distal end (Figure 11). Sampson et al. also discloses using a flexible transparent polymer (col. 13, lines 3-18) when making the catheter as well as using radio opaque material (Figure 11, 12) [Figure 11, 12 and column 13 and 14].

Application/Control Number: 10/519,458

Art Unit: 3763

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the device of Dorsey et al. with the teachings of Samson et al. because Samson et al. teaches the use of an unaligned pattern of side holes to "spray" the tissue and thus allow a more spread out diffusion pattern; with regards to the side holes increasing in diameter, Samson et al. teaches the increasing in diameter solve hydrodynamic problems; with regards to the transparent polymer Sampson et al. discloses the catheter would be easier to assembly; and with regards to the radio opaque material, Samson et al. discloses the catheter would be easier to maneuver through the patient as well as locate within the body (Column 13 and 14).

Page 3

With regards to the size and length of the catheter, it is well known that changing the size and length of a device is a well-known modification depending on the procedure and use of the device (case law can be sited if needed). Therefore, it would have been an obvious modification to change the length of Dorsey to meet the limitations of the claims.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion -

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 June 11, 2007